

Minor Changes to the South Wiltshire Core Strategy Submission Document submitted before the Initial Hearing Sessions

| Change Reference | Change | Justification | Para | Page |
|------------------|--|--|------------|------|
| MIN/A | <p>Page 161, Development Template for Land South of Netherhampton Road, Netherhampton, insert under 'Objectives for the development the following text:</p> <p>"No development will be permitted until such time as detailed transportation measures including improvements to the local road network have been submitted to and approved by the Council".</p> | To reflect the 50% of all representations that raised the lack of clarity over detailed highways solutions related to this site. | Appendix A | 161 |
| MIN/B | <p>In between paragraph 8.0 and 8.1, add the following text:</p> <p>"Along with Durrington and Bulford and the associated military garrisons, Amesbury forms part of a unique group of settlements which have close links to one another, both geographically and functionally, and collectively make up a large population, almost half that of the city of Salisbury. These settlements provide a service centre for the Stonehenge Community Area. Although they are distinct from one another, their close relationships mean that they neither conform to the SSCT category nor are comparable with the Local Service Centres or any of the Village categories.</p> <p>Durrington is the third largest settlement in the district, with a population of over 7000. However, in spite of its size it lacks the strong identity of the south Wiltshire's smaller centers such as Mere and Tisbury, not least due to the absence of a village centre proper as much of its development has been via the accretion of new and relatively poorly connected housing estates. Bulford, with a population of about 5000, is closely interrelated to both Durrington and Amesbury and is heavily reliant on them for meeting its own needs relative to other comparably sized settlements in the district"</p> | To clarify what the functional relationship between Amesbury, Durrington and Bulford. To clarify what role each settlement plays, as queried through representations | 8 | 97 |

| Change Reference | Change | Justification | Para | Page |
|------------------|---|---|---|------|
| MIN/C | <p>Add the text shown below in bold to paragraph 8.4:</p> <p>In 20 years Amesbury will be a thriving community reflecting the aspirations of residents. It will be a place where people want to stay, attracting new residents, businesses and visitors alike. Managed growth will have provided new homes and jobs, which supports improved services and retail choices and cements Amesbury's own specific identity as a self-supporting community which has reduced the need to travel to larger urban centres like Salisbury or other larger centres along the A303 corridor. Durrington and Bulford will also have become more self-contained. They will have more services and facilities to meet their own needs, meaning that functionally these settlements are less reliant on Amesbury.</p> | <p>To clarify that the strategy for Amesbury will result in a more self-supporting community.</p> <p>To clarify what impact the strategy will have on how Amesbury, Durrington and Bulford will function together.</p> <p>In response to representations.</p> | Para 8.4 A Vision for the Amesbury Community Area | 98 |
| MIN/D | <p>Amend the Amesbury community area to ensure that the identified shortage of public open space is identified.</p> <p>Add additional bullet at paragraph 8.2 to read, "As per the open space study there is a shortage of amenity space in the area, especially Amesbury East and this shortfall needs to be addressed and contributions will be sought from the planned growth through provision of new Amenity space and commuted payments under saved policy R2".</p> | To clarify that the shortage in recreational open space is to be addressed | 8.2 | 97 |
| MIN/E | To clarify the development at Kings Gate should not encroach onto previously identified amenity land. Under objectives for development, add to bullet 4, " and to ensure that the new development does not encroach onto previously identified amenity land, but compliments the Archers Gate master plan". | To ensure that Kings Gate will be complimentary to the Archers Gate development and does not compromise the approved master plan. | Appendix A | 167 |
| MIN/F | Add text shown in bold and delete text to paragraph 8.22 as | | Para 8.22 | p103 |

| Change Reference | Change | Justification | Para | Page |
|------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|------|
| | <p>follows:</p> <p>“In December 2008 a new Lidl store opened in an out of town location. The outcome of a call-in inquiry for two applications for out of centre convenience stores could influence the retail position in Amesbury greatly with respect to both comparison and convenience floorspace. A call-in inquiry for two applications for out of centre convenience stores has recently taken place, the outcome of which is the granting of planning permission for Tesco on the London Road in Amesbury. The implementation of this permission will help to broaden the retail offer in Amesbury offering more choice and competition, and help to promote more sustainable shopping patterns by reducing the need to travel to larger urban centres like Salisbury and Andover. The implementation of this planning permission, alongside the realisation of more employment at Solstice Park and delivery of housing at Kings Gate, will together help to promote settlement self-containment and deliver balanced growth in Amesbury. However, potential also exists to assemble a site around the old Co-op store within the town centre subject to meeting with design requirements and needs of the conservation area appraisal.”</p> | <p>Representations and the decision on the supermarket issues, have raised the need to clarify how the Tesco’s permission, will contribute to the delivery a self-supporting community in Amesbury and help address the shortage of retail choice in the town. Also clarification is required to make it clear how the employment, retail and housing growth, will deliver self-containment in Amesbury to the benefit of achieving sustainable development. It also needs to be clarified that the retail proposed at Kings Gate, will be limited in size to a neighbourhood store.</p> | | |
| MIN/G | <p>Hampton Park – Objectives for Development Final bullet point of site constraints to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To deliver development which conserves and in places enhances the natural environment, including the quality of the River Bourne which is within the River Avon SAC/SSSI. <p>Within Site Constraints fourth bullet point to read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The River Bourne, part of the River Avon SSSI/SAC | <p>As highlighted in representations from Natural England, the objectives for the development and the site constraints incorrectly identify that the River Bourne is a tributary of the River Avon SAC/SSSI. The Bourne is an integral part of the SAC/SSSI.</p> | Development Template for Hampton Park | 145 |
| MIN/H | Insert text | As highlighted in the representations of | Between first | 128 |

| Change Reference | Change | Justification | Para | Page |
|------------------|--|--|--|------------|
| | <p>"Groundwater in south Wiltshire is the source of much of the river flow within the River Avon so pollution of groundwater has the potential to impact on the water quality in the river.</p> <p>Source Protection Zones are mapped by the Environment Agency. They are used to protect abstractions used for public water supply and other forms of distribution to the public. These zones show the areas of groundwater within which there is particular sensitivity to pollution risks due to the proximity of a drinking water source and the way the groundwater flows. Generally, the closer the activity or release is to a groundwater source, the greater the risk.</p> <p>Protecting water quality both in and outside of Source Protection Zones is necessary to comply with the requirements of the Water Framework Directive".</p> | <p>the Environment Agency there is a need to clarify the breadth of the vulnerability of south Wiltshire's watercourses to pollution. This is also necessary to expand on Saved policy G8, which is limited to protecting water resources in Groundwater Source Protection Areas. In south Wiltshire groundwater is the source of much of the river flow within the River Avon. Therefore, water quality must be protected in all developments not just those within Source Protection Zones to comply with the requirements of the Water Framework Directive.</p> | <p>and second paragraph in 12.2(b)</p> | |
| MIN/I | <p>To add following text: "A new Stonehenge World Heritage Site Management Plan was published in January 2009 after extension consultation with the Stonehenge Stakeholders and the public. The Plan provides a long-term strategy to protect the World Heritage Site for present and future generations. The primary aim of the Plan is to protect the prehistoric monuments which give the World Heritage Site its Outstanding Universal value, taking into account other interests such as tourism, farming,</p> | <p>As requested by English Heritage the Stonehenge World Heritage Site Management Plan has been revised since the Core Strategy was drafted and adopted by Wiltshire Council. Therefore the objectives related to Stonehenge in the Core Strategy should reflect and be consistent with the up to date position.</p> | <p>Paragraph 8.25(a)</p> | <p>104</p> |

| Change Reference | Change | Justification | Para | Page |
|------------------|---|--|-----------------|------|
| | nature conservation, research, education and the local community. The Management Plan sets out many objectives for the World Heritage Site, such as improving the setting of Stonehenge and other prehistoric monuments, providing new visitor facilities, improving interpretation and access, and promoting sustainable transport. The Plan has been endorsed in July 2009 by Wiltshire Council as a material consideration in determining planning applications affecting the Stonehenge WHS. It replaces the 2000 Management Plan". | | | |
| MIN/J | Add WHS boundary to map | Map currently only shows site of Stonehenge, rather than World Heritage site. This may give the impression that it is only the Henge itself which is of significance, when it is in fact the whole of the designated landscape that is of universal value. | Map 7, para 8.2 | P98 |
| MIN/K | Add additional bullet point: "Development around Amesbury should be carefully designed so as not to affect the Stonehenge World Heritage Site or its setting" | To reflect to objectives of the revised Management Plan. | Para 8.2 | P 97 |
| MIN/L | Add at end of para 8.4: "The other objectives of the 2009 Management Plan, such as improving access to the World Heritage Site, developing sustainable transport and improving the conservation of archaeological sites, will have been realised." | The Stonehenge World Heritage Site Management Plan has been revised since the Core Strategy was drafted and adopted by Wiltshire Council. Therefore the objectives related to Stonehenge in the Core Strategy should reflect and be consistent with the up to date position. | Para 8.4 | P 99 |